

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/447,312	BLANCHARD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Taghi T. Arani	2131

All Participants:

(1) Taghi T. Arani.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Andrew Y. Pang.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2005

Time: 5:30pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

newly applied reference of Irvin et al. was supplied


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner initiated a telephone call to the Attorney of the record, Mr. Pang for an examiner amendment. Newly applied reference of Irvin et al. was supplied to Mr. Pang but he was unable to locate the file at the time. No agreement was reached.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)